



Third time (un)lucky – improved Petya is out

July 18, 2016 by [Malwarebytes Labs](#)

Last updated: September 8, 2016

So far we dedicated several articles to the interesting, low-level ransomware called [Petya](#), hijacking the boot sector. You can read about it here:

- <https://blog.malwarebytes.com/threat-analysis/2016/05/petya-and-mischa-ransomware-duet-p1/> – Green Petya (version 2)
- <https://blog.malwarebytes.com/threat-analysis/2016/04/petya-ransomware/> – Red Petya (version 1)

Each of those versions was using Salsa20 algorithm to encrypt Master File Table and make disk inaccessible. However, due to the implementation bugs the intended algorithm was weakened – giving a chance to recover data.

Unfortunately, as always in such cases, it is just a matter of time when cybercriminals get their cryptography fixed. Petya’s authors got it right at the third attempt. The currently launched wave of this ransomware finally seems to have the proper Salsa20.

sample: [c8623aaa00f82b941122edef3b1852e3](#)

Behavioral analysis

Behavior of Petya didn't changed – we can see exactly the same UI like in the [previous green edition](#):



Inside

Let's take a look at differences in the code. Using BinDiff we can spot, that not many functions have changed. However, those that were giving weak points to the previous edition are modified.

0.95	0.99	G-----	0000844E	check_key	0000844E	check_key
0.66	0.95	-I--E--	00009822	s20_littleendian	0000984E	sub_984E_74
0.65	0.90	-I--E--	00008AAC	reboot_disk	00008ADA	sub_8ADA_51

Salsa20

First of all, let's take a look the function **s20_littleendian** that was causing the major bug in the last release. Due to it's invalid implementation, only 8 out of 16 characters of the key were

meaningful and brutforcing the key was easier (working solution has been implemented by [procrash](#)). Detailed explanation of this bug you can find in the updated [post about the previous Petya](#) – under the section “New Petya, new bug”.

On the left – you can see the implementation of the buggy function (from the previous edition). On the right – current, fixed implementation:

<p>00009822 s20_littleendian primary</p> <pre> 00009822 s20_littleendian 00009822 push b2 bp // s20_littleendian 00009823 mov b2 bp, b2 sp 00009825 push b2 si 00009826 mov b2 si, b2 ss:[si+arg_0] 00009829 sub b1 al, b1 al 0000982B mov b1 ah, b1 ds:[sp+1] 0000982E mov b1 cl, b1 ds:[si] 00009830 sub b1 ch, b1 ch 00009832 add b2 ax, b2 cx 00009834 cwd 00009835 pop b2 si 00009836 leave 00009837 retn </pre>	<p style="text-align: right;">sub_984E 0000984E secondary</p> <pre> 0000984E sub_984E 0000984E push b2 bp 0000984F mov b2 bp, b2 sp 00009851 push b2 si 00009852 mov b2 si, b2 ss:[si+arg_0] 00009855 sub b1 ah, b1 ah 00009857 mov b1 al, b1 ds:[sp+2] 0000985A shl b2 ax, b1 0x10 0000985B cwd 0000985E mov b2 cx, b2 ax 00009860 mov b1 ah, b1 ds:[sp+1] 00009863 sub b1 al, b1 al 00009865 mov b2 bx, b2 dx 00009867 cwd 00009868 add b2 ax, b2 cx 0000986A adc b2 dx, b2 bx 0000986C mov b2 cx, b2 ax 0000986E mov b1 ah, b1 ds:[sp+3] 00009871 shl b1 ah, b1 0x10 00009874 sub b1 al, b1 al 00009876 mov b2 bx, b2 dx 00009878 cwd 00009879 add b2 ax, b2 cx 0000987B adc b2 dx, b2 bx 0000987D mov b1 cl, b1 ds:[si] 0000987F sub b1 ch, b1 ch 00009881 add b2 ax, b2 cx 00009883 adc b2 dx, b1 0 00009886 pop b2 si 00009887 leave 00009888 retn </pre>
--	--

Explanation

The old implementation was truncated – it didn't use 32 bit values as it should – only added a sign bit expansion to the 16 bit value:

```

static int16_t s20_littleendian(uint8_t *b)
{
    return b[0] +
           (b[1] << 8);
}

```

Now, authors got the proper implementation, using 32 bits. So, the last bug in Salsa20 got finally fixed, making implementation complete.

Key

In the first (red) version of Petya authors used 32 byte long Salsa key – that was, however, generated from the 16 byte long key, using a custom function to pre-process it and extend.

In the second – green edition, they gave up this idea and applied the original 16 byte long key, without any modification.

This time, they changed mind and went back to the first solution of using 32 byte long key, yet with some improvements. Again we can see **expand32** in the code (instead of **expand16** known from the previous edition):

```
-----
00009936 enter    16h, 0
0000993A push    di
0000993B push    si
0000993C mov     [bp+var_11], 78h ; 'x'
00009940 mov     [bp+var_10], 70h ; 'p'
00009944 mov     [bp+var_F], 61h ; 'a'
00009948 mov     [bp+var_E], 6Eh ; 'n'
0000994C mov     [bp+var_D], 64h ; 'd'
00009950 mov     [bp+var_B], 33h ; '3'
00009954 mov     [bp+var_A], 32h ; '2'
00009958 mov     [bp+var_9], 2Dh ; '-'
0000995C mov     [bp+var_8], 62h ; 'b'
00009960 mov     [bp+var_7], 79h ; 'y'
00009964 mov     [bp+var_6], 74h ; 't'
00009968 mov     al, 65h ; 'e'
0000996A mov     [bp+var_12], al
0000996D mov     [bp+var_5], al
00009970 mov     al, 20h ; ' '
00009972 mov     [bp+var_C], al
00009975 mov     [bp+var_4], al
00009978 mov     [bp+var_3], 6Bh ; 'k'
0000997C xor     di, di
```

When the victim insert the key for the verification, before using it as a Salsa20 key, it is preprocessed by a new algorithm (more complex than in case of Red Petya):

```
000084D8
000084D8 loc_84D8:
000084D8 push    0
000084DA push    16                ; size
000084DC lea    ax, [bp+initial_key]
000084DF push    ax
000084E0 lea    ax, [bp+modified_key]
000084E3 push    ax
000084E4 call   process_key
000084E7 add    sp, 8
000084EA mov    byte ptr [bp+counter+2], 0

000084EE
000084EE process_key_loop_top:
000084EE push    0
000084F0 push    32                ; size
000084F2 lea    ax, [bp+modified_key]
000084F5 push    ax
000084F6 push    ax                ; key_buffer
000084F7 call   process_key
000084FA add    sp, 8
000084FD inc    byte ptr [bp+counter+2]
00008500 cmp    byte ptr [bp+counter+2], 128
00008504 jb     short process_key_loop_top
```

Conclusion

New edition shows that the project is reaching maturity – however, as we can read on the associated onion page – it is still a beta version and we can expect that it will keep evolving. Below – fragment of Petya’s RaaS website:



We are not yet sure about the distribution method, but probability is high, that also this time it is spam with a link leading to cloud storage. We strongly advise to be extra vigilant for the job applications coming this days – it proven to be a common cover for Petya/Mischa dropper. More information about it you can find in our previous articles about Petya.

Appendix

This was a guest post written by Hasherezade, an independent researcher and programmer with a strong interest in InfoSec. She loves going in details about malware and sharing threat information with the community. Check her out on Twitter @[hasherezade](#) and her personal blog: <https://hshrzd.wordpress.com>.